

ON SUMS OF TWO k -TH POWERS: A MEAN-SQUARE BOUND OVER SHORT INTERVALS

WERNER GEORG NOWAK

1. Introduction.

For a fixed integer $k \geq 2$, denote by $r_k(n)$ the number of representations of the positive integer n as a sum of the k -th powers of two integers taken absolutely:

$$r_k(n) = \#\{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |u_1|^k + |u_2|^k = n\}.$$

The average order of this arithmetic function is described by the sum

$$R_k(u) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq u^k} r_k(n),$$

where u is a large real variable¹. One is interested in precise asymptotic formulas for this summatory function $R_k(u)$.

For $k = 2$, this is the celebrated Gaussian circle problem. (An enlightening account on its history can be found in the monograph of Krätzel [10].) The sharpest published results to date² read

$$R_2(u) = \pi u^2 + P_2(u), \quad (1.1)$$

$$P_2(u) = O(u^{46/73} (\log u)^{315/146}), \quad (1.2)$$

and³

$$P_2(u) = \Omega_-(u^{1/2} (\log u)^{1/4} (\log \log u)^{\frac{1}{4} \log 2} \exp(-c \sqrt{\log \log \log u})) \quad (c > 0), \quad (1.3)$$

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11P21, 11N37, 11L07

¹ Note that, in part of the relevant literature, $t = u^2$ is used as the basic variable.

² Actually, M. Huxley has meanwhile improved further this upper bound, essentially replacing the exponent $\frac{46}{73} = 0.6301\dots$ by $\frac{131}{208} = 0.6298\dots$. The author is indebted to Professor Huxley for sending him a copy of his unpublished manuscript.

³ We recall that $F_1(u) = \Omega_*(F_2(u))$ means that $\limsup(*F_1(u)/F_2(u)) > 0$ for $u \rightarrow \infty$ where $*$ is either $+$ or $-$, and $F_2(u)$ is positive for u sufficiently large.

$$P_2(u) = \Omega_+(u^{1/2} \exp(c'(\log \log u)^{1/4}(\log \log \log u)^{-3/4})) \quad (c' > 0). \quad (1.4)$$

These are due to Huxley [4], [6], Hafner [3], and Corrádi & Kátai [1], respectively. It is a wide-standing belief that

$$\inf\{\theta \in \mathbb{R} : P_2(u) \ll_{\theta} u^{\theta}\} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (1.5)$$

In favour of this conjecture, there is the mean-square asymptotic

$$\int_0^T (P_2(u))^2 du = C_2 T^2 + O(T(\log T)^2), \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(r_2(n))^2}{n^{3/2}} \quad (1.6)$$

which has been established (with this precise error term) by Kátai [7].

The proofs of the results (1.3), (1.4), (1.6) were based on the fact that the generating function (Dirichlet series) of $r_2(n)$ is the Epstein zeta-function of the quadratic form $u_1^2 + u_2^2$, which satisfies a well-known functional equation and thus makes available the whole toolkit of complex analysis.

The general case, $k \geq 3$, lacks this technical advantage. Nevertheless, the problem concerning the asymptotic behaviour of $R_k(u)$, $k \geq 3$, has attracted a lot of attention, too. It has first been dealt with by Van der Corput [18] and Krätzel [9]. For a thorough account on the history of this problem and the results available until 1988. see again Krätzel's textbook [10]. It turns out that

$$R_k(u) = \frac{2\Gamma^2(1/k)}{k\Gamma(2/k)} u^2 + B_k \Phi_k(u) u^{1-1/k} + P_k(u) \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$B_k = 2^{3-1/k} \pi^{-1-1/k} k^{1/k} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right),$$

$$\Phi_k(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1-1/k} \sin\left(2\pi n u - \frac{\pi}{2k}\right).$$

and the new error term $P_k(u)$ satisfies an estimate quite analogous to (1.2), i.e.,

$$P_k(u) = O(u^{46/73} (\log u)^{315/146}), \quad (1.8)$$

as was proved by Kuba [11], using Huxley's method [4], [6].

Concerning lower bounds, it was shown by the author [16] that, for any fixed $k \geq 3$,

$$P_k(u) = \Omega_-(u^{1/2}(\log u)^{1/4}), \quad (1.9)$$

and by Kühleitner, Nowak, Schoißengeier & Wooley [13] that

$$P_3(u) = \Omega_+(u^{1/2}(\log \log u)^{1/4}). \quad (1.10)$$

The similarity of these results to those for the case $k = 2$ suggested to extend the classic conjecture (1.5) to arbitrary $k \geq 2$. It turned out that this is again true in mean-square: In fact, the author [15] was able to show that, for T large,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (P_k(u))^2 du \ll T \tag{1.11}$$

for any fixed $k \geq 3$. M. K uhleitner [12] refined this estimate, proving an asymptotic formula

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (P_k(u))^2 du = C_k T + O(T^{1-\omega_k+\epsilon}), \tag{1.12}$$

with explicit constants C_k and $\omega_k > 0$.

2. Statement of result

In the present note we investigate the question whether the ‘‘average moderate size’’ of this error term $P_k(u)$, as displayed by (1.11), can be observed only ‘‘in the long run,’’ i.e., by averaging over an interval of order T , or if a similar estimate is possible for a ‘‘short interval mean.’’ In fact, it turns out that it essentially suffices to average over an interval of bounded length—at the cost of a small loss of precision (extra logarithmic factor).

Theorem 2.1. *For T large and arbitrary fixed $k \geq 3$,*

$$\int_{T^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} (P_k(u))^2 du \ll T (\log T)^2,$$

with the \ll -constant depending on k .

Remarks. This work is inspired by a paper of Huxley [5] who investigated the corresponding problem for the lattice rest of a convex planar domain (with smooth boundary of finite nonzero curvature throughout), linearly dilated by a large factor u . He obtained the corresponding mean-square bound $O(T \log T)$, thereby including the case of a circle, i.e., that of $k = 2$ in our problem.

In geometric terms, for $k \geq 3$ we are concerned with the number of lattice points in a domain bounded by a Lam e’s curve $|\xi|^k + |\eta|^k = u^k$. This has curvature 0 in its points of intersection with the coordinate axes. As a consequence, the expansion of the lattice rest into a trigonometric series, as discovered by Kendall [8] and employed by Huxley [5], is no longer available. Therefore, we use a different approach based on fractional part sums, Vaaler’s transition to exponential sums, the Van der Corput transformation (‘‘B-step’’), and, in the end, Huxley’s trick involving the F ej er kernel.

Catching a word of Huxley [5] (who imagined the dilation factor u as a time variable), we can say that, according to our result, these number-theoretic error terms ‘‘have no memory,’’ or, a bit more precisely, that their average small size is accomplished ‘‘not by long-term memory, but by short-term memory.’’

3. Proof of the Theorem 2.1

As in our earlier article [15], we start from formulae (3.57), (3.58) (and the asymptotic expansion below) in Krätzel [10], p. 148. In our notation, this reads

$$P_k(u) = -8 \sum_{\alpha u < n \leq u} \psi((u^k - n^k)^{1/k}) + O(1), \tag{3.1}$$

with $\psi(w) = w - [w] - \frac{1}{2}$ throughout, and $\alpha := 2^{-1/k}$. We suppose that T is sufficiently large, $u \in [T - \frac{1}{2}, T + \frac{1}{2}]$, and define q by $1/k + 1/q = 1$, i.e., $q = k/(k - 1)$, and thus $1 < q \leq \frac{3}{2}$. We break up the range of summation into subintervals $\mathcal{N}_j(u) =]N_j, N_{j+1}]$, where $N_j = u(1 + 2^{-jq})^{-1/k}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, J$, with J minimal such that $u - N_J < 1$ for all $u \in [T - \frac{1}{2}, T + \frac{1}{2}]$.⁴ It follows that the length of any $\mathcal{N}_j(u)$ is equal to $N_{j+1} - N_j \asymp 2^{-jq}T$, and that $w \in \mathcal{N}_j(u)$ implies that $u^k - w^k \asymp 2^{-jq}T^k$. We put

$$I_j(T) := \int_{T-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_j(u)} \psi((u^k - n^k)^{1/k}) \right)^2 du$$

and infer from Cauchy's inequality, with some fixed $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^J \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_j(u)} \psi((u^k - n^k)^{1/k}) \right)^2 du \\ \leq \sum_{j=0}^J 2^{-j\epsilon} \sum_{j=0}^J 2^{j\epsilon} I_j(T) \ll \sum_{j=0}^J 2^{j\epsilon} I_j(T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We now invoke a deep result of Vaaler [17] which connects fractional parts with exponential sums. (See also Graham and Kolesnik [2], p. 116.) For every positive integer D there exists a sequence $(\alpha_{h,D})_{h=1}^D$ contained in the interval $[0, 1]$ such that for all reals w ,

$$\left| \psi(w) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq D} \frac{\alpha_{|h|,D}}{h} e(hw) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2D+2} \sum_{h=-D}^D \left(1 - \frac{|h|}{D+1}\right) e(hw),$$

with $e(w) = e^{2\pi i w}$ as usual. From this it is easy to see that there exists a complex-valued sequence $(\beta_{h,D})_{h=1}^D$ with

$$\beta_{h,D} \ll \frac{1}{h} \tag{3.3}$$

⁴ The idea of this special choice of subdivision points is that $\frac{d}{dw}((u^k - w^k)^{1/k})$ assumes integer values at $w = N_j$. See the application of the Lemma below.

such that

$$I_j(T) \ll \int_{T-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{h=1}^D \beta_{h,D} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_j(u)} e(-h(u^k - n^k)^{1/k}) \right|^2 du + \left(\frac{2^{-jq}T}{D} \right)^2. \quad (3.4)$$

We choose $D = \exp(\log 2 [\frac{1}{2} \log T / \log 2])$, i.e., D is a power of 2 and $D \asymp \sqrt{T}$. The last term in (3.4) is thus $\ll 4^{-jq}T$.

We now transform the exponential sums under consideration by a fairly sharp form of the ‘‘Van der Corput step.’’

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that f is a real-valued function which possesses four continuous derivatives on the interval $[A, B]$. Let L and U be real parameters not less than 1 such that $B - A \asymp L$,*

$$f^{(j)}(w) \ll UL^{1-j} \quad \text{for } w \in [A, B], \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

and, for some $C^* > 0$,

$$f''(w) \geq C^*UL^{-1} \quad \text{for } w \in [A, B].$$

Suppose further that $f'(A)$ and $f'(B)$ are integers, and denote by ϕ the inverse function of f' . Then it follows that

$$\sum_{A \leq k \leq B} e(f(k)) = e\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \sum_{f'(A) \leq m \leq f'(B)}'' \frac{e(f(\phi(m)) - m\phi(m))}{\sqrt{f''(\phi(m))}} + O(\log(1 + U)),$$

where \sum'' means that the terms corresponding to $m = f'(A)$ and $m = f'(B)$ get a factor $\frac{1}{2}$. The O -constant depends on C^* and on the constants implied in the order symbols in the suppositions.

Proof. This is Lemma 2 in K uhleitner [12]. For a more general version of the same precision, as well as for comments on the history of this sort of results, see K uhleitner & Nowak [14], Lemma 2.2.

We use this formula to transform each of the sums over n in (3.4), with $[A, B] = [N_j, N_{j+1}]$, and

$$f(w) = -h(u^k - w^k)^{1/k}.$$

We readily compute the derivatives as⁵

$$f'(w) = hw^{k-1}(u^k - w^k)^{-1+1/k} \ll h2^j,$$

$$f''(w) = h(k-1)u^k w^{k-2}(u^k - w^k)^{-2+1/k} \asymp hT^{-1}2^{j-jq},$$

⁵ Recall that $w \in \mathcal{N}_j(u)$ implies that $w \asymp T$ and $u^k - w^k \asymp 2^{-jq}T^k$.

$$f'''(w) = h(k-1)u^k w^{k-3} (u^k - w^k)^{-3+1/k} ((k-2)u^k + (k+1)w^k) \\ \ll hT^{-2} 2^{j-2jq},$$

$$f^{(4)}(w) = h(k-1)u^k w^{k-4} (u^k - w^k)^{-4+1/k} \\ \times ((k-2)(k-3)u^{2k} + (k+1)(4k-7)u^k w^k + (k+1)(k+2)w^{2k}) \\ \ll hT^{-3} 2^{j-3jq}.$$

Our Lemma thus applies with $L = N_{j+1} - N_j \asymp 2^{-jq}T$, $U = h2^j$, and we obtain by a straightforward calculation, for $u \in [T - \frac{1}{2}, T + \frac{1}{2}]$,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_j(t)} e(-h(u^k - n^k)^{1/k}) \\ = \frac{e(\frac{1}{8})}{\sqrt{k-1}} hu^{1/2} \sum''_{m \in \mathcal{M}_j(h)} (hm)^{-1+q/2} \|(h, m)\|_q^{-q+1/2} e(-u \|(h, m)\|_q) \\ + O(\log T), \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$\mathcal{M}_j(h) =]f'(N_j), f'(N_{j+1})] =]2^j h, 2^{j+1} h],$$

and $\|\cdot\|_q$ denoting the q -norm in \mathbb{R}^2 , i.e., $\|(u_1, u_2)\|_q = (|u_1|^q + |u_2|^q)^{1/q}$. With a look back to (3.4), we define

$$S_h(u) := \beta_{h,D} h \sum''_{m \in \mathcal{M}_j(h)} (hm)^{-1+q/2} \|(h, m)\|_q^{-q+1/2} e(-u \|(h, m)\|_q)$$

and divide the range $1 \leq h \leq D = 2^I$ (say) into dyadic subintervals $\mathcal{H}_i =]2^{i-1}, 2^i]$, $i = 1, \dots, I \ll \log T$. Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude by Cauchy's inequality that

$$I_j(T) \ll \int_{T-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} u \left| \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_i} S_h(u) \right|^2 du + (\log T)^2 + 4^{-jq}T \\ \ll T(\log T)^2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq I} \int_{T-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_i} S_h(u) \right|^2 du + (\log T)^2 + 4^{-jq}T. \tag{3.6}$$

Following an idea of Huxley [5], we now use the Féjer kernel

$$\varphi(w) := \left(\frac{\sin(\pi w)}{\pi w} \right)^2.$$

By Jordan's inequality, $\varphi(w) \geq 4/\pi^2$ for $|w| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and the Fourier transform has the simple shape

$$\widehat{\varphi}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(w) e(yw) dw = \max(0, 1 - |y|).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_{T-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_i} S_h(u) \right|^2 du \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u-T) \left| \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_i} S_h(u) \right|^2 du = \\
 & = \sum_{h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_i} (h_1 h_2)^{q/2} \beta_{h_1, D} \overline{\beta_{h_2, D}} \sum''_{\substack{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_j(h_1), \\ m_2 \in \mathcal{M}_j(h_2)}} \frac{(\|(h_1, m_1)\|_q \|(h_2, m_2)\|_q)^{-q+1/2}}{(m_1 m_2)^{1-q/2}} \\
 & \quad \times e(-T(\|(h_1, m_1)\|_q - \|(h_2, m_2)\|_q)) \\
 & \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) e(-u(\|(h_1, m_1)\|_q - \|(h_2, m_2)\|_q)) du \\
 & \ll \sum_{h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_i} (h_1 h_2)^{-1+q/2} \sum''_{\substack{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_j(h_1), \\ m_2 \in \mathcal{M}_j(h_2)}} \frac{(\|(h_1, m_1)\|_q \|(h_2, m_2)\|_q)^{-q+1/2}}{(m_1 m_2)^{1-q/2}} \\
 & \quad \times \max(0, 1 - |\|(h_1, m_1)\|_q - \|(h_2, m_2)\|_q|), \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

using the bound (3.3) for the β 's. We recall that $h \in \mathcal{H}_i$ implies $h \asymp 2^i$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}_j(h)$ implies that $\|(h, m)\|_q \asymp m \asymp 2^j h$. Therefore, the last expression in (3.7) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \ll (2^i)^{-2+q} (2^{i+j})^{-1-q} \#\{(h_1, h_2, m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 : h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_i, \\
 & \quad m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_j(h_1), m_2 \in \mathcal{M}_j(h_2), |\|(h_1, m_1)\|_q - \|(h_2, m_2)\|_q| < 1\}. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now denote by $A_q^*(u)$ the number of lattice points $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $\|\mathbf{v}\|_q \leq u$, then the most elementary estimate

$$\text{“Number of lattice points} = \text{area} + O(\text{length of boundary})\text{”}$$

implies, for any fixed (h_1, m_1) , $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_i$, $m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_j(h_1)$, that

$$A_q^*(\|(h_1, m_1)\|_q + 1) - A_q^*(\|(h_1, m_1)\|_q - 1) \ll \|(h_1, m_1)\|_q \ll m_1.$$

Thus, combining (3.7) and (3.8), it follows that

$$\int_{T-\frac{1}{2}}^{T+\frac{1}{2}} \left| \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}_i} S_h(u) \right|^2 du \ll (2^i)^{-2+q} (2^{i+j})^{-1-q} \sum_{h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_i} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_j(h_1)} m_1 \ll (2^j)^{1-q},$$

uniformly in $i = 1, \dots, I$. Using this in (3.6), we get

$$I_j(T) \ll 2^{-j(q-1)} T(\log T)^2 + (\log T)^2.$$

Recalling (3.1), (3.2), and the fact that $q = k/(k - 1) > 1$, we complete the proof of the Theorem. ■

References

- [1] K. Corrádi and I. Kátai, *A comment on K. S. Gangadharan's paper "Two classical lattice point problems"* (Hungarian), Magyar Tud. Akad. mat. fiz. Oszt. Közl. **17** (1967), 89–97.
- [2] S.W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput's method of exponential sums*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [3] J.L. Hafner, *New omega theorems for two classical lattice point problems*, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), 181–186.
- [4] M.N. Huxley, *Exponential sums and lattice points II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **66** (1993), 279–301.
- [5] M.N. Huxley, *The mean lattice point discrepancy*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **38** (1995), 523–531.
- [6] M.N. Huxley, *Area, lattice points, and exponential sums*, LMS Monographs, New Ser. **13**, Oxford 1996.
- [7] I. Kátai, *The number of lattice points in a circle*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Rolando Eötvös, Sect. Math. **8** (1965), 39–60.
- [8] D.G. Kendall, *On the number of lattice points inside a random oval*, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) **19** (1948), 1–26.
- [9] E. Krätzel, *Bemerkungen zu einem Gitterpunktproblem*, Math. Ann. **179** (1969), 90–96.
- [10] E. Krätzel, *Lattice Points*, Dt. Verl. d. Wiss., Berlin, 1988.
- [11] G. Kuba, *On sums of two k -th powers of numbers in residue classes II*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **63** (1993), 87–95.
- [12] M. Kühleitner, *On sums of two k th powers: an asymptotic formula for the mean square of the error term*, Acta Arithm. **92** (2000), 263–276.
- [13] M. Kühleitner, W. G. Nowak, J. Schoißengeier and T. Wooley, *On sums of two cubes: An Ω_+ -estimate for the error term*, Acta Arithm. **85** (1998), 179–195.
- [14] M. Kühleitner and W. G. Nowak, *The asymptotic behaviour of the mean-square of fractional part sums*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **43** (2000), 309–323.
- [15] W. G. Nowak, *On sums of two k -th powers: a mean-square bound for the error term*, Analysis **16** (1996), 297–304.
- [16] W. G. Nowak, *Sums of two k -th powers: an Omega estimate for the error term*, Arch. Math. **68** (1997), 27–35.
- [17] J. D. Vaaler, *Some extremal problems in Fourier analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) **12** (1985), 183–216.
- [18] J.G. Van der Corput, *Over roosterpunten in het plate vlak*, Thesis, Groningen, 1919.

On sums of two k -th powers: a mean-square bound over short intervals 125

Address: Institut für Mathematik u. Ang.Stat., Universität für Bodenkultur, A-1180 Wien,
Austria.

E-mail: nowak@mail.boku.ac.at, <http://www.boku.ac.at/math/nth.html>

Received: 14 May 2000