

## ON THE INTEGRAL OF THE ERROR TERM IN THE FOURTH MOMENT OF THE RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION

ALEKSANDAR IVIĆ

### 1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to provide an asymptotic formula for  $\int_0^T E_2(t) dt$ , where  $E_2(T)$  is the error term in the asymptotic formula for the fourth moment of  $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$ . The asymptotic formula for the fourth moment of the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  on the critical line is customarily written as

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^4 dt = TP_4(\log T) + E_2(T), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$P_4(x) = \sum_{j=0}^4 a_j x^j. \quad (1.2)$$

It is classically known that  $a_4 = 1/(2\pi^2)$ , and it was proved by D. R. Heath-Brown [1] that

$$a_3 = 2(4\gamma - 1 - \log(2\pi) - 12\zeta'(2)\pi^{-2})\pi^{-2}.$$

He also produced more complicated expressions for  $a_0, a_1$  and  $a_2$  in (1.2) ( $\gamma = 0.577\dots$  is Euler's constant). For an explicit evaluation of the  $a_j$ 's the reader is referred to [4].

In recent years, due primarily to the application of powerful methods of spectral theory (see Y. Motohashi's monograph [13] for a comprehensive account), much advance has been made in connection with  $E_2(T)$ . We refer the reader to the works [5]–[9], [11]–[13] and [16]. It is known now that

$$E_2(T) = O(T^{2/3} \log^{C_1} T), \quad E_2(T) = \Omega(T^{1/2}), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\int_0^T E_2(t) dt = O(T^{3/2}), \quad \int_0^T E_2^2(t) dt = O(T^2 \log^{C_2} T), \quad (1.4)$$

with effective constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  (the values  $C_1 = 8, C_2 = 22$  are worked out in [13]). The above results were proved by Y. Motohashi and the author: (1.3) and the first bound in (1.4) in [3], [8], [13] and the second upper bound in (1.4) in [7]. The omega-result in (1.3) ( $f = \Omega(g)$  means that  $f = o(g)$  does not hold,  $f = \Omega_{\pm}(g)$  means that  $\limsup f/g > 0$  and that  $\liminf f/g < 0$ ) was improved to  $E_2(T) = \Omega_{\pm}(T^{1/2})$  by Y. Motohashi [12]. Recently the author [6] made further progress in this problem by proving the following quantitative omega-result: there exist two constants  $A > 0, B > 1$  such that for  $T \geq T_0 > 0$  every interval  $[T, BT]$  contains points  $T_1, T_2$  for which

$$E_2(T_1) > AT_1^{1/2}, \quad E_2(T_2) < -AT_2^{1/2}. \tag{1.5}$$

There is an obvious discrepancy between the  $O$ -result and  $\Omega$ -result in (1.3), and it may be well conjectured that  $E_2(T) = O_{\varepsilon}(T^{1/2+\varepsilon})$  for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  ( $\varepsilon$  will denote arbitrarily small constants, not necessarily the same ones at each occurrence). This bound, if true, is very strong, since it would imply (e.g., by Lemma 7.1 of [3]) the hitherto unproved bound  $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) \ll_{\varepsilon} t^{1/8+\varepsilon}$ . The upper bound in (1.3) seems to be the limit of the existing methods, since the only way to estimate the relevant exponential sum in this problem, namely (see [3],[8] and [13])

$$\sum_{K < \kappa_j \leq 2K} \alpha_j H_j^3(\frac{1}{2}) \exp\left(i\kappa_j \log\left(\frac{T}{\kappa_j}\right)\right) \quad (1 \ll K \leq T^{1/2}) \tag{1.6}$$

appears to be trivial estimation, coming from the bound

$$\sum_{K < \kappa_j \leq 2K} \alpha_j \left| H_j^3(\frac{1}{2}) \right| \ll K^2 \log^C K \quad (C > 0). \tag{1.7}$$

This follows by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality from the bounds (see [13])

$$\sum_{\kappa_j \leq K} \alpha_j H_j^2(\frac{1}{2}) \ll K^2 \log K, \quad \sum_{\kappa_j \leq K} \alpha_j H_j^4(\frac{1}{2}) \ll K^2 \log^{15} K \tag{1.8}$$

with  $C = 8$  in (1.7). Here as usual  $\{\lambda_j = \kappa_j^2 + \frac{1}{4}\} \cup \{0\}$  denotes the discrete spectrum of the non-Euclidean Laplacian acting on  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -automorphic forms, and  $\alpha_j = |\rho_j(1)|^2 (\cosh \pi \kappa_j)^{-1}$ , where  $\rho_j(1)$  is the first Fourier coefficient of the Maass wave form corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  to which the Hecke series  $H_j(s)$  is attached. It is precisely the presence of  $H_j^3(\frac{1}{2})$  in (1.6) which makes the sum in question very hard to deal with, and any decrease of the exponent  $2/3$  in the upper bound for  $E_2(T)$  in (1.3) will likely involve the application of genuine new ideas.

In [6] the author proved that there exist constants  $A > 0$  and  $B > 1$  such that, for  $T \geq T_0 > 0$ , every interval  $[T, BT]$  contains points  $t_1, t_2$  for which

$$\int_0^{t_1} E_2(t) dt > At_1^{3/2}, \quad \int_0^{t_2} E_2(t) dt < -At_2^{3/2}. \tag{1.9}$$

This result, of course, implies that  $\int_0^T E_2(t) dt = \Omega_{\pm}(T^{3/2})$ . It was also used in [6] to prove a lower bound result, whose special case  $a = 2$  gives

$$\int_0^T E_2^2(t) dt \gg T^2, \tag{1.10}$$

thus sharpening (1.8) and showing that the upper bound in (1.4) is very close to the true order of magnitude of the mean square integral of  $E_2(T)$ .

The main aim of this paper is to prove a result, which gives an asymptotic formula for the integral of  $E_2(t)$ , thereby sharpening the first bound in (1.4). This is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let*

$$\eta(T) := (\log T)^{3/5}(\log \log T)^{-1/5}, \tag{1.11}$$

$$R_1(\kappa_h) := \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( 2^{-i\kappa_h} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}i\kappa_h)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}i\kappa_h)} \right)^3 \Gamma(2i\kappa_h) \cosh(\pi\kappa_h). \tag{1.12}$$

Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^T E_2(t) dt = 2T^{\frac{3}{2}} \Re \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j H_j^3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{T^{i\kappa_j}}{(\frac{1}{2} + i\kappa_j)(\frac{3}{2} + i\kappa_j)} R_1(\kappa_j) \right\} + O(T^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-C\eta(T)}). \tag{1.13}$$

From Stirling's formula for the gamma-function it follows that  $R_1(\kappa_j) \ll \kappa_j^{-1/2}$ , hence by (1.7) and partial summation it follows that the series on the right-hand side of (1.13) is absolutely convergent, and it can be also shown (see [3], [5], [6]) that  $\Re \{ \dots \}$  is also  $\Omega_{\pm}(1)$ . Thus from Theorem 1.1 we can easily deduce all previously known  $\Omega$ -results for  $E_2(T)$ . The error term in (1.13) is similar to the error term in the strongest known form of the prime number theorem (see e.g., [2, Chapter 12]). This is by no means a coincidence, and the reason for such a shape of the error term in (1.13) will transpire from the proof of Theorem 1.1, which will be given in Section 3.

## 2. A mean square result

We shall deduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 from a mean square result for the function

$$\mathcal{Z}_2(s) := \int_1^{\infty} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)|^4 x^{-s} dx \quad (\Re s = \sigma > 1). \tag{2.1}$$

It was introduced and studied in [12], [13, Chapter 5], and then further used and studied in [5], [6] and [9]. Y. Motohashi [12] has shown that  $\mathcal{Z}_2(s)$  has meromorphic continuation over  $\mathbb{C}$ . In the half-plane  $\Re s > 0$  it has the following

singularities: the pole  $s = 1$  of order five, simple poles at  $s = \frac{1}{2} \pm i\kappa_j$  ( $\kappa_j = \sqrt{\lambda_j - 1/4}$ ) and poles at  $s = \frac{1}{2}\rho$ , where  $\rho$  denotes complex zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ . The residue of  $\mathcal{Z}_2(s)$  at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\kappa_h$  equals

$$R(\kappa_h) := \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( 2^{-i\kappa_h} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}i\kappa_h)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}i\kappa_h)} \right)^3 \Gamma(2i\kappa_h) \cosh(\pi\kappa_h) \sum_{\kappa_j = \kappa_h} \alpha_j H_j^3(\frac{1}{2}),$$

and the residue at  $s = \frac{1}{2} - i\kappa_h$  equals  $\overline{R(\kappa_h)}$ . The function  $\mathcal{Z}_2(s)$  is a natural tool for investigations involving  $E_2(T)$  (see (3.3) and (3.4)). Its spectral decomposition (see [12] and [13, Chapter 5]) enables one to connect problems with  $E_2(T)$  to results from spectral theory. We shall prove the following

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let*

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2} - C\delta(V), \quad \delta(V) := (\log V)^{-2/3}(\log \log V)^{-1/3}, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $C > 0$  is a suitable constant. Then

$$\int_V^{2V} |\mathcal{Z}_2(\sigma + iv)|^2 dv \ll_\epsilon V^{2+\epsilon}. \tag{2.3}$$

**Proof.** We note that in [9] the bound (2.3) was shown to hold for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ , but it is the region  $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  that is more difficult to deal with. As in [9] we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_2(s) &= \int_1^\infty I(T, \Delta) T^{-s} dT + \int_1^\infty (|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iT)|^4 - I(T, \Delta)) T^{-s} dT \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_{21}(s) + \mathcal{Z}_{22}(s), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

say, where

$$I(T, \Delta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\Delta}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i(T+t))|^4 \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{\Delta}\right)^2\right) dt \quad (\Delta = T^\xi, \frac{1}{3} \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}). \tag{2.5}$$

Before we pass to specific bounds, we shall discuss the method that will be used. Let us suppose that we want to obtain an upper bound for

$$I := \int_T^{2T} \left| \int_a^b g(x)x^{-s} dx \right|^2 dt \quad (s = \sigma + it, T \geq T_0 > 0), \tag{2.6}$$

where  $g(x)$  is a real-valued, integrable function on  $[a, b]$ , a subinterval of  $[1, \infty)$  (which is not necessarily finite), and which satisfies  $g(x) \ll x^C$  for some  $C > 0$ . Let  $\varphi(x) \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$  be a test function such that  $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for

$T \leq x \leq 2T$ ,  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for  $x < \frac{1}{2}T$  or  $x > \frac{5}{2}T$  ( $T \geq T_0 > 0$ ),  $\varphi(x)$  is increasing in  $[\frac{1}{2}T, T]$  and decreasing in  $[2T, \frac{5}{2}T]$ . Then we have, by  $r$  integrations by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T/2}^{5T/2} \varphi(t) \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{it} dt &= (-1)^r \int_{T/2}^{5T/2} \varphi^{(r)}(t) \frac{(y/x)^{it}}{(i \log(y/x))^r} dt \\ &\ll_r T^{1-r} \left| \log \frac{y}{x} \right|^{-r} \ll T^{-A} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for any fixed  $A > 0$  and any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , provided that  $|y - x| \geq xT^{\varepsilon-1}$  and  $r = r(A, \varepsilon)$  is large enough. Recalling that  $g(x) \ll x^C$  and using (2.7) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_{T/2}^{5T/2} \varphi(t) \left| \int_a^b g(x) x^{-s} dx \right|^2 dt \\ &= \int_a^b \int_a^b g(x) g(y) (xy)^{-\sigma} \int_{T/2}^{5T/2} \varphi(t) \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{it} dt dx dy \\ &\ll 1 + \int_{T/2}^{5T/2} \varphi(t) \int_a^b |g(x)| x^{-\sigma} \int_{x-xT^{\varepsilon-1}}^{x-xT^{\varepsilon-1}} |g(y)| y^{-\sigma} dy dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

and the problem is reduced to the estimation of the integral of  $g(x)$  over short intervals; here actually  $g(x)$  does not have to be real-valued. In (2.8) we may further use the elementary inequality  $|g(x)g(y)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(g^2(x) + g^2(y))$ , and thus reduce the problem to mean square estimates.

In the expression for  $Z_{22}(s)$  in (2.4) we denote by  $I_1(s, X)$  the integral in which  $T \leq X$ , and by  $I_2(s, X)$  the remaining integral, where  $X (\ll V^C)$  is a parameter to be chosen later. We have ( $s = \sigma + it$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V^{2V} |I_1(s, X)|^2 dt &\ll \int_V^{2V} \left| \int_1^X |\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2} + iT)|^4 T^{-s} dT \right|^2 dt \\ &\quad + \int_V^{2V} \left| \int_{-\log V}^{\log V} \int_1^X |\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2} + iT + iu)|^4 T^{-s} dT e^{-u^2} du \right|^2 dt \\ &\quad + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Both mean square integrals above are estimated analogously. The first one is, by using (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll_\varepsilon 1 + \int_V^{2V} \int_1^X |\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2} + ix)|^4 x^{-\sigma} \int_{x-xV^{\varepsilon-1}}^{x+xV^{\varepsilon-1}} |\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2} + iy)|^4 y^{-\sigma} dy dx \\ &\ll_\varepsilon 1 + \int_V^{2V} \int_1^X |\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2} + ix)|^4 x^{-2\sigma} (xV^{\varepsilon-1} + x^{c+\varepsilon}) dx dt \\ &\ll_\varepsilon V^\varepsilon (X^{2-2\sigma} + V + VX^{1+c-2\sigma}) \ll_\varepsilon V^\varepsilon (X + VX^c). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Here we used (1.1), (2.2) the weak form of the fourth moment of  $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)|$  and the bound (see (1.3))

$$E_2(T) \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{c+\varepsilon} \quad (\frac{1}{2} \leq c \leq \frac{2}{3}). \tag{2.10}$$

To estimate the contribution of  $I_2(s, X)$ , note that from [9, (4.10)] we have that the relevant part of  $I_2(s, X)$  is, on integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^b \int_X E_2'(\tau) f(\tau, \alpha) d\tau d\alpha \\ = O\left(\sup_{\alpha} |E_2(X) f(X, \alpha)|\right) - \int_0^b \int_X E_2(\tau) \frac{\partial f(\tau, \alpha)}{\partial \tau} d\tau d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

where  $b > 0$  is a small constant, and  $f(\tau, \alpha)$  is precisely defined in [9]. It was shown there that, for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}, t \ll V$ , we have the estimates

$$f(\tau, \alpha) \ll \tau^{2\xi-2-\sigma} (\log^2 \tau + V \log \tau + V^2) \log^3 \tau$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f(\tau, \alpha)}{\partial \tau} \ll \tau^{2\xi-3-\sigma} V \log^3 \tau (\log^2 \tau + V \log \tau + V^2).$$

We use (2.5), (2.9), (2.10) and the above estimates to obtain, if  $\sigma$  satisfies (2.2).

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V^{2V} |I_1(s, X)|^2 dt &\ll_{\varepsilon} V^5 X^{2c+4\xi-4-2\sigma} + V^6 \int_X^{\infty} E_2^2(\tau) \tau^{4\xi-5-2\sigma} d\tau \\ &\ll_{\varepsilon} V^{\varepsilon} (V^5 X^{2c+4\xi-5} + V^6 X^{4\xi-4}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V^{2V} |\mathcal{Z}_{22}(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \\ \ll_{\varepsilon} V^{\varepsilon} (V X^c + X + V^5 X^{2c+4\xi-5} + V^6 X^{4\xi-4}) \\ \ll_{\varepsilon} V^{\varepsilon} (V^{5/(4+c-4\xi)} + V^{(4+6c-4\xi)/(4+c-4\xi)} + V^{(15c-5)/(4+c-4\xi)}) \\ \ll_{\varepsilon} V^{(4+6c-4\xi)/(4+c-4\xi)+\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

with  $X = V^{5/(4+c-4\xi)}$ , since in view of  $\xi \leq \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \leq c \leq \frac{2}{3}$  we have

$$5 \leq 4 + 6c - 4\xi, \quad 15c - 5 \leq 4 + 6c - 4\xi.$$

Then with  $\xi = \frac{1}{3}$ , which we henceforth assume, we obtain

$$\int_V^{2V} |\mathcal{Z}_{22}(\sigma + iv)|^2 dv \ll_{\varepsilon} V^{2+\varepsilon},$$

so that (2.3) will follow from

$$\int_V^{2V} |\mathcal{Z}_{21}(\sigma + iv)|^2 dv \ll_\varepsilon V^{2+\varepsilon}. \quad (2.12)$$

It was shown in [9] that the major contribution to  $\mathcal{Z}_{22}(s)$  comes from ( $s = \sigma + it$ ,  $V \leq t \leq 2V$  and  $\sigma$  satisfies (2.2))

$$\sum_{t-V^\varepsilon \leq \kappa_j \leq t+V^\varepsilon} \alpha_j H_j^3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left| \frac{1}{2} + i\kappa_j - s \right|^{-1} \kappa_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{T(\kappa_j)}^\infty M^*(\kappa_j; T) T^{\frac{1}{2} + i\kappa_j - s} dT \right|, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$T(r) := r^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} \log^{-D} r = r^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{-D} r \quad (D > 0), \quad (2.14)$$

and  $M^*(r; T)$  is a precisely defined function from spectral theory which satisfies, for  $T \geq T(r)$  (cf. [9, (4.28)]), the bound

$$M^*(r; T) \ll_\varepsilon r T^{-2} + r^{2+\varepsilon} T^{2\varepsilon-3}. \quad (2.15)$$

Thus the major contribution to the integral in (2.13) will therefore be, since  $H_j(\frac{1}{2}) \geq 0$  (see Katok-Sarnak [10]),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_V^{2V} \left| \sum_{t-V^\varepsilon \leq \kappa_j \leq t+V^\varepsilon} \alpha_j H_j^3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left| \frac{1}{2} + i\kappa_j - s \right|^{-1} V^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left| \int_{T(V)}^\infty M^*(\kappa_j; T) T^{\frac{1}{2} + i\kappa_j - s} dT \right| \right|^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Recall that  $\sigma$  is given by (2.2), and that by the zero-free region for  $\zeta(s)$  we have the bound (see [2, Lemma 12.3] and (2.2))

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(\alpha + it)} \ll (\log t)^{2/3} (\log \log t)^{1/3} \quad (\alpha \geq 1 - \delta(t), t \geq t_0 > 0).$$

This gives  $|\frac{1}{2} + i\kappa_j - s|^{-1} \ll \log V$  in (2.16). We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (1.8) and the asymptotic formula (see [13])

$$\sum_{\kappa_j \leq K} \alpha_j H_j^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = (A \log K + B) K^2 + O(K \log^6 K) \quad (A > 0)$$

to estimate sums of  $\alpha_j H_j^2(\frac{1}{2})$  in short intervals. We obtain then that the expression in (2.16) is, on using (2.9) and the inequality  $|g(x)g(y)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(g^2(x) + g^2(y))$ , (2.14)

and (2.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\ll V^{-1} \log^2 V \int_V^{2V} \sum_{t-V^\varepsilon \leq \kappa_j \leq t+V^\varepsilon} \alpha_j H_j^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{t-V^\varepsilon \leq \kappa_j \leq t+V^\varepsilon} \alpha_j H_j^4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \times \\
 &\quad \times \left| \int_{T(V)}^\infty M^*(\kappa_j; T) T^{\frac{1}{2} + i\kappa_j - s} dT \right|^2 dt \\
 &\ll_\varepsilon V^\varepsilon \sum_{V-V^\varepsilon \leq \kappa_j \leq 2V+V^\varepsilon} \alpha_j H_j^4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{T(V)}^\infty |M^*(\kappa_j; T)|^2 T^{2-2\sigma} dT \\
 &\ll_\varepsilon V^\varepsilon \sum_{V-V^\varepsilon \leq \kappa_j \leq 2V+V^\varepsilon} \alpha_j H_j^4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{T(V)}^\infty (V^2 T^{-4} + V^4 T^{4\xi-6}) T dT \\
 &\ll_\varepsilon V^\varepsilon \sum_{V-V^\varepsilon \leq \kappa_j \leq 2V+V^\varepsilon} \alpha_j H_j^4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) (V^2 T^{-2}(V) + V^4 T^{4\xi-4}(V)) \\
 &\ll V^\varepsilon \sum_{V-V^\varepsilon \leq \kappa_j \leq 2V+V^\varepsilon} \alpha_j H_j^4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \ll_\varepsilon V^{2+\varepsilon}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (2.12) and thus finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1. ■

### 3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.1. The starting point is the inversion formula

$$|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)|^4 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1+\varepsilon)} \mathcal{Z}_2(s) x^{s-1} ds, \tag{3.1}$$

where as usual  $\int_{(c)} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT}$ . Namely, if  $F(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{s-1} dx$  is the Mellin transform of  $f(x)$ .  $y^{\sigma-1} f(y) \in L^1(0, \infty)$  and  $f(y)$  is of bounded variation in a neighbourhood of  $y = x$ , then one has the Mellin inversion formula (see [14])

$$\frac{f(x+0) + f(x-0)}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} F(s) x^{-s} ds.$$

We use this formula with  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{x})|^4$  for  $0 < x \leq 1$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x > 1$ , and then change  $x$  to  $1/x$  to obtain (3.1).

Now we replace the line of integration in (3.1) by the contour  $\mathcal{L}$  consisting of the same straight line from which the segment  $[1 + \varepsilon - i, 1 + \varepsilon + i]$  is removed and replaced by a circular arc of unit radius, lying to the left of the line, which passes over the pole  $s = 1$  of the integrand. By the residue theorem we have

$$|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)|^4 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{Z}_2(s) x^{s-1} ds + Q_4(\log x) \quad (x > 1), \tag{3.2}$$



where we have, since the coefficients of  $P_4(z)$  are naturally connected to the principal part of the Laurent expansion of  $Z_2(s)$  at  $s = 1$  (see [3] and [13]),

$$Q_4(\log x) = P_4(\log x) + P_4'(\log x)$$

and  $P_4(y)$  is given by (1.1) and (1.2). If we integrate (3.2) from  $x = 1$  to  $x = T$  and take into account the defining relation (1.1) of  $E_2(T)$ , we shall obtain

$$E_2(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} Z_2(s) \frac{T^s}{s} ds + O(1) \quad (T > 1). \quad (3.3)$$

A further integration, coupled with the deformation of the contour, enables one to deduce from (3.3) the formula

$$\int_0^T E_2(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} Z_2(s) \frac{T^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds + O(T) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} < c < 1, T > 1\right), \quad (3.4)$$

since in view of the bound (see [9])

$$\int_0^T |Z_2(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll_{\epsilon} T^{2+\epsilon} \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\right) \quad (3.5)$$

we may take  $\frac{1}{2} < c < 1$  as the range for  $c$  in (3.4). The formula (3.4) is the key one in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We replace the line of integration in the integral on the right-hand side of (3.4) by the contour consisting of the segment  $[\sigma_0 - it_0, \sigma_0 + it_0]$ , and the curve

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2} - C\delta(|t|), \quad \delta(x) := (\log x)^{-2/3}(\log \log x)^{-1/3}, \quad |t| \geq t_0, \quad \sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} - C\delta(t_0), \quad (3.6)$$

where  $C$  denotes positive, possibly different constants. Since  $Z_2(s)$  has poles at complex zeros of  $\zeta(2s)$  it follows, by the strongest known zero-free region for  $\zeta(s)$  (see [6, Chapter 6]), that the function  $Z_2(s)$  is regular on the new contour. The residue theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T E_2(t) dt &= 2\Re \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^{\frac{3}{2}+i\kappa_j}}{(\frac{1}{2}+i\kappa_j)(\frac{3}{2}+i\kappa_j)} \alpha_j H_j^3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) R_1(\kappa_j) \right\} \\ &\quad + O(T^{\sigma_0+1}) \\ &\quad + O\left(\int_{t_0}^{\infty} T^{\frac{3}{2}-C\delta(t)} t^{-2} |Z_2(\frac{1}{2}-C\delta(t)+it)| dt\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

with  $R_1(\kappa_j)$  given by (1.12). Let  $\eta(T)$  be defined by (1.11) and put

$$U = U(T) := e^{C\eta(T)} = e^{C \log^{3/5} T (\log \log T)^{-1/5}}.$$

Then

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} = \int_{t_0}^U + \int_U^{\infty} \ll T^{3/2} e^{-C\delta(U) \log T} + T^{3/2} U^{\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}} \ll T^{3/2} e^{-C\eta(T)}, \quad (3.8)$$

since by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\int_V^{2V} |\mathcal{Z}_2(\frac{1}{2} - C\delta(v) + iv)|^2 dv \ll_{\varepsilon} V^{2+\varepsilon}. \quad (3.9)$$

Namely we split the integral in the  $O$ -term in (3.7) into subintegrals over  $[V, 2V]$ . The contour  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} - C\delta(v)$  is replaced by  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} - C\delta(V)$ , which is technically easier. In this process we obtain integrals over horizontal segments whose contributions will be  $\ll_{\varepsilon} V^{2+\varepsilon}$ , since by (5.10) and (5.24) of [9] (with  $\xi = \frac{1}{3}$ ) we have the bound

$$\mathcal{Z}_2(\frac{1}{2} - C\delta(v) + iv) \ll_{\varepsilon} v^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Finally by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for integrals and (3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{\infty} |\mathcal{Z}_2(\frac{1}{2} - C\delta(v) + iv)| v^{-2} dv &\ll 1, \\ \int_V^{\infty} |\mathcal{Z}_2(\frac{1}{2} - C\delta(v) + iv)| v^{-2} dv &\ll_{\varepsilon} V^{\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

thereby establishing (3.8) and completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

In concluding it may be remarked that, similarly as in [5], one may obtain quickly from (3.3) the bound (see (1.3))

$$E_2(T) \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{\frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon}, \quad (3.10)$$

which is (up to “ $\varepsilon$ ”) the strongest one known. Namely by [5, (5.3)] we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(T) &\leq C_1 H^{-1} \int_T^{T+H} E_2(x) f(x) dx + C_2 H \log^4 T \\ &\quad (C_1, C_2 > 0, 1 \ll H \leq \frac{1}{4} T), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where  $f(x)$  ( $> 0$ ) is a smooth function supported in  $[T, T+H]$ , such that  $f(x) = 1$  for  $T + \frac{1}{4}H \leq x \leq T + \frac{3}{4}H$ . Then from (3.3) we have ( $\frac{1}{2} < c < 1$ )

$$E_2(T) \leq \frac{C_1}{2\pi i H} \int_{(c)} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_2(s)}{s} \int_T^{T+H} f(x) x^s dx ds + C_2 H \log^4 T.$$

We take  $c = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ , use (3.5), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the fact that by  $r$  integrations by parts it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{T+H} f(x) x^s dx &= (-1)^r \int_T^{T+H} \frac{x^{s+r}}{(s+1) \dots (s+r)} f^{(r)}(x) dx \\ &\ll_{\sigma, r} T^{\sigma+r} H^{1-r} |t|^{-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the above integral over  $s$  may be truncated at  $|\Im s| = T^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1}$  with a negligible error, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(T) &\ll_{\varepsilon} T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \int_1^{T^{1+\varepsilon}H^{-1}} |\mathcal{Z}_2(\tfrac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)| \frac{dt}{t} + H \log^4 T \\ &\ll_{\varepsilon} T^{\varepsilon} (TH^{-\frac{1}{2}} + H) \ll T^{\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

with  $H = T^{2/3}$ . A lower bound for  $E_2(T)$ , similar to (3.11), also holds, and therefore (3.10) follows as asserted.

### References

- [1] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The fourth moment of the Riemann zeta-function*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **38** (1979), 385–422.
- [2] A. Ivić, *The Riemann zeta-function*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1985.
- [3] A. Ivić, *Mean values of the Riemann zeta-function*, LN's **82**. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1991 (distr. by Springer Verlag, Berlin etc.).
- [4] A. Ivić, *On the fourth moment of the Riemann zeta-function*. Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgrade) **57(71)** (1995), 101–110.
- [5] A. Ivić, *The Mellin transform and the Riemann zeta-function*, Proceedings of the Conference on Elementary and Analytic Number Theory (Vienna, July 18–20, 1996), Universität Wien & Universität für Bodenkultur, Eds. W. G. Nowak and J. Schoißengeier, Vienna 1996, 112–127.
- [6] A. Ivić, *On the error term for the fourth moment of the Riemann zeta-function*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **60** (1999), 21–32.
- [7] A. Ivić and Y. Motohashi, *The mean square of the error term for the fourth moment of the zeta-function*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **66** (1994), 309–329.
- [8] A. Ivić and Y. Motohashi, *The fourth moment of the Riemann zeta-function*. J. Number Theory **51** (1995), 16–45.
- [9] A. Ivić, M. Jutila and Y. Motohashi, *The Mellin transform of powers of the Riemann zeta-function*, to appear in *Acta Arith.*
- [10] S. Katok and P. Sarnak, *Heegner points, cycles and Maass forms*, Israel J. Math. **84** (1993), 193–227.
- [11] Y. Motohashi, *An explicit formula for the fourth power mean of the Riemann zeta-function*, Acta Math. **170** (1993), 181–220.
- [12] Y. Motohashi, *A relation between the Riemann zeta-function and the hyperbolic Laplacian*, Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci. IV ser. **22** (1995), 299–313.
- [13] Y. Motohashi, *Spectral theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [14] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1948.

- [15] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.
- [16] N. I. Zavorotnyi, *On the fourth moment of the Riemann zeta-function* (in Russian), in “Automorphic functions and number theory I”, Coll. Sci. Works, Vladivostok, 1989, 69–125.

**Address:** Aleksandar Ivić, Katedra Matematike RGF-a, Universiteta u Beogradu, Djušina 7,  
11000 Beograd, Serbia (Yugoslavia)

**E-mail:** [aleks@ivic.matf.bg.ac.yu](mailto:aleks@ivic.matf.bg.ac.yu), [aivic@rgf.rgf.bg.ac.yu](mailto:aivic@rgf.rgf.bg.ac.yu)

**Received:** 14 September 1999