ON SOME RESULTS CONNECTED WITH ARTIN CONJECTURE

KAZIMIERZ WIERTELAK

Abstract: In the present paper we derive an upper bond for the number of primes p, for which a is a primitive root mod p.

Keywords: Artin conjecture, primitive root mod p,

1. Introduction

Let a be a rational integer and let

$$A = A(a) = \{p \mid p \text{ is a prime number and } a \text{ is a primitive root mod } p\}.$$

In 1927 Artin conjectured that A(a) is infinite, provided that a is neither -1 nor a perfect square. More precisely, denoting by $N_a(x)$ the number of primes $p \leq x$ for which $a \in A$, he conjectured that

$$N_a(x) \sim c(a) \frac{x}{\log x} \qquad (x \to \infty) ,$$

where c(a) is a positive constant. This conjecture has been proved by C. Hooley [2] under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis holds for fields of the type $Q(\sqrt[k]{a}, \sqrt[k]{1})$. He proved, that

$$N_a(x) = c(a) \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x \log \log x}{\log^2 x}\right) \qquad (x \to \infty) ,$$
 (1.1)

and also determined the value of the constant c(a).

Vinogradow in [3], proved unconditionaly, that

$$N_a(x) \le c(a) \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^{\frac{5}{4}} x} (\log \log x)^2\right) , \qquad (1.2)$$

where the constant in O depends on a.

In the following a denotes an integer, $a \neq -1$ or is not a perfect square, H denotes the largest integer with the property that a is a perfect H-th power, p and q denotes prime numbers, and $M = \prod_{p \mid a} p$.

In the present paper we prove the following theorem:

Theorem. If $x \ge \exp M$, then

$$N_a(x) \le c(a) \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(H \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{\log^2 \log \log x}{\log \log x}\right) , \qquad (1.3)$$

where the constant in O is numerical and c(a) is the same constant as in the estimates (1.1) and (1.2).

2. Let us fix the following notation. Set

$$B(l,a) = \{ p \mid p \equiv 1 \pmod{l} \text{ and } a^{\frac{p-1}{l}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \},$$

where l is a positive integer,

$$A(\xi,\eta) = \bigcap_{\xi \le q \le \eta} (B(1,a) - B(q,a)) \qquad (1 \le \xi \le \eta) ,$$

$$M(x,l,a) = \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ p \in B(l,a)}} 1$$

$$N(x,\xi,\eta) = \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ p \in A(\xi,\eta)}} 1$$

Note that (see [1]) $A \subseteq A(\xi, \eta)$ for all $\xi \le \eta$, so that for $x \ge 1$, we have

$$N_a(x) \leq N(x, \xi, \eta)$$
 $(1 \leq \xi \leq \eta)$.

In particular, we see that

$$N_a(x) = N(x, 1, x) \le N(x, 1, \xi)$$
 (2.1)

for $1 \le \xi \le x$.

3. The proof of the theorem will rest on the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.1. If $\xi \geq 1$ and

$$S(\xi) = \{l \mid l = 1 \text{ or } l = q_1 q_2 \dots q_r, q_i \text{ distinct primes}, q_j \leq \xi \quad (1 \leq j \leq r)\}$$

then for $x \geq 2$ we have the equality

$$N(x,1,\zeta) = \sum_{l \in S(\xi)} \mu(l) M(x,l,a) . \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. With the notation of section 2, we have:

$$egin{align} N(x,1,\xi) &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \ p \in B(1,a)}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{p \in \bigcup \ 1 \leq q \leq \xi}} 1 = \sum_{l \in S(\xi)} \mu(l) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \ p \in B(l,a)}} 1 = \\ &= \sum_{l \in S(\xi)} \mu(l) M(x,l,a) \;. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $a = a_1 a_2^2$, a_1 square - free, l square free,

$$\varepsilon(l) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 2a_1 \mid l \text{ and } a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{1} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let (H,l) be the greatest common divisor of H,l (H is determinet in section 1).

Suppose further that $t \ge 1$, $0 < \alpha \le 1$, $c_1 > 0$ is a sufficiently small numerical constant and $c_2 \ge 0$ is an arbitrary numerical constant.

$$(l^3M)^{\varphi(l)} \le \exp\left(\left(\frac{c_1}{c_2+1}\right)^2 \frac{\log^{\alpha} x}{\log^t \log x}\right) ,$$

then

$$\left| M(x,l,a) - \frac{\varepsilon(l)(H,l)}{l\varphi(l)} \pi(x) \right| \le c_3 \frac{(H,l)\sqrt{M}}{\prod_{p|l} (1-\frac{1}{p})} \frac{x}{(\log x)^2} + c_4 x \exp(-(1,7c_2+1,2)\sqrt{\alpha} \log^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} x \log^{\frac{1+t}{2}} \log x) .$$
(3.2)

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemma (5.5) and Corollary (5.1) of [4] and from the following identities:

$$M(x, 2m + 1, -a) = M(x, 2m + 1, a)$$

 $M(x, 2m, -a) =$
 $= 2M(x, 4m, a^2) + M(x, 2m, a^2) - M(x, 4m, a^4) - M(x, 2m, a)$.

4. **Proof of Theorem.** From Lemma 3.2 for t=1, $\alpha=1$, $c_2=1$ and from (3.1) for $\xi=\frac{1}{3}\log\log x$, we have

$$N(x,1,\xi) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mu(l) \frac{\varepsilon(l)(H,l)}{l\varphi(l)} \pi(x) + O\left(\sum_{l>\xi} \frac{H}{l\varphi(l)} \pi(x)\right) + O\left(\frac{H\sqrt{M}x}{\log^2 x} \sum_{l \in S(\xi)} \frac{1}{\varphi(l)}\right) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^{2,9} x} 2^{\xi}\right).$$

$$(4.1)$$

From [2] (equality 29) we get

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mu(l) \frac{\varepsilon(l)(H,l)}{l\varphi(l)} = c(a) . \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $l < e^{2\xi}$ for all $l \in S(\xi)$, hence we have

$$\sum_{l \in S(\xi)} \frac{\sqrt{M}l}{\varphi(l)} = O\left(\sum_{l \in S(\xi)} \sqrt{M} \log \log l\right) = O(\sqrt{M}2^{\xi} \log 2\xi) = O\left(\log^{\frac{6}{7}} x\right) \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\sum_{l>\xi} \frac{1}{l\varphi(l)} = O\left(\sum_{l>\xi} \frac{\log\log l}{l^2}\right) =$$

$$= O\left(\frac{\log^2 \xi}{\xi} \sum_{l>\xi} \frac{\log\log l}{l\log^2 l}\right) = O\left(\frac{(\log\log\log x)^2}{\log\log x}\right). \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we get

$$N(x, 1, \xi) = c(a) \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(H \frac{x}{\log x} \frac{(\log \log \log x)^2}{\log \log x}\right)$$

and Theorem follows from inequality (2.1).

References

- [1] L.J. Goldstein, On the Generalized density Hypothesis, I, Analytic number theory, (Philadelphia, Pa. 1980), pp. 107–128, Lecture Notes in Math. 899, Springer, Berlin New York, 1981.
- [2] C. Hooley, On Artin's conjecture, J. Reine Angew. Math. 225 (1967), 209-220.

- [3] A.I. Vinogradov, Artin's L-series and his conjectures (Russian), Trudy Math. Inst. Steklov. 112 (1971), 123-140.
- [4] K. Wiertelak, On the density of some sets of primes, IV, Acta Arithmetica 43 (1984), 177-189.

Address: Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, A. Mickiewicz University, Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland

Received: 28 March 2000